

ENTERED

January 08, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ROBERTO HINOJOSA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00132

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO GRANT DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**


On December 12, 2024, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Grant Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment” (D.E. 63). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 63), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (D.E. 44) and **DISMISSES** all of Plaintiff's claims.

ORDERED on January 8, 2025.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE